

# BAYESIAN VIRTUAL LAB — BMS ATTACK DETECTION REPORT

M-W Framework Physics-Layer Analysis | Generated: 20260513\_105722

| Parameter          | Value   |
|--------------------|---|
| Detection Mode     | SINGLE-FILE (auto-baseline)                             |
| Clean / Baseline   | 20260413_091418_VChart959.csv rows 0-39 [auto-baseline] |
| Suspect File       | 20260413_091418_VChart959.csv                           |
| Rated Capacity     | 100 Ah  |
| What-If Horizon    | 1000 calendar days                                      |
| Scenarios Analysed | S1, S2, S3  |

**VERDICT: CLEAN**

**Confidence: HIGH**

No anomalous signals detected across 3 active detection layers.

## Detection Layer Summary

| Layer                  | Severity          | Flags | Top Flag  |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------|---|
| L1_CAPACITY_INFLATION  | CLEAN             | 0     | —   |
| L2_VOLTAGE_DRIFT       | CLEAN             | 0     | —   |
| L3_IR_SUPPRESSION      | INSUFFICIENT_DATA | 1     | Not enough current-step transitions to compute DCIR. This dataset appears to be charging-o... |
| L4_MANIFOLD_TRAJECTORY | CLEAN             | 0     | —   |

## L4 — Manifold Trajectory Inconsistency (Key Detection)

| Metric                     | Clean / Baseline                | Suspect              | Physical Law   |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Mean Full Capacity         | 100.00 Ah                       | 100.00 Ah (normal)   | Must equal rated 100 Ah on healthy BMS               |
| Pack SOH (mean FC / rated) | 100.000%                        | 100.000% (plausible) | Cannot exceed 100% — physics ceiling                 |
| Projected SOH at 1000d     | 94.44% (-5.56% — DECREASING)    | 94.44% (-5.56%)      | Must decrease — batteries cannot get healthier       |
| Trajectory Direction       | DECREASING (physically correct) | Elevated vs clean    | Any increase = geometric impossibility on LFP man... |

VAE Status: VAE M-W manifold check skipped — physics trajectory check (Part A) is primary L4 detection

## Layer-by-Layer Detail

| L1_CAPACITY_INFLATION |                  |         |                             | CLEAN |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------|
| Metric                | Clean / Baseline | Suspect | Note                        |       |
| FC Mean (Ah)          | 100.000          | 100.000 | Should match rated capacity |       |
| FC Std (Ah)           | 0.0000           | 0.0000  | Should be -0 on healthy BMS |       |
| FC Max (Ah)           | 100.000          | 100.000 | Nominal = 100 Ah            |       |
| FC Slope (mAh/row)    | 0.000            | -0.000  | Should be -0 (flat)         |       |

No anomalous signals detected on this layer.

| L2_VOLTAGE_DRIFT |                      |                        |         |                 |        | CLEAN |
|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------|-----------------|--------|-------|
| Cell             | Clean Slope (mV/row) | Suspect Slope (mV/row) | Delta   | Mean Shift (mV) | Status |       |
| Cell 1           | -0.0063              | -0.0116                | -0.0054 | -5.071          | OK     |       |
| Cell 2           | -0.0156              | -0.0093                | +0.0062 | -5.119          | OK     |       |

| Cell    | Clean Slope (mV/row) | Suspect Slope (mV/row) | Delta   | Mean Shift (mV) | Status |
|---------|----------------------|------------------------|---------|-----------------|--------|
| Cell 3  | -0.0049              | -0.0136                | -0.0088 | -5.240          | OK     |
| Cell 4  | -0.0057              | -0.0146                | -0.0088 | -5.194          | OK     |
| Cell 5  | -0.0134              | -0.0109                | +0.0025 | -5.166          | OK     |
| Cell 6  | -0.0015              | -0.0105                | -0.0090 | -5.027          | OK     |
| Cell 7  | +0.0005              | -0.0105                | -0.0110 | -5.037          | OK     |
| Cell 8  | -0.0076              | -0.0094                | -0.0018 | -4.965          | OK     |
| Cell 9  | +0.0000              | -0.0208                | -0.0208 | -5.682          | OK     |
| Cell 10 | +0.0000              | -0.0152                | -0.0152 | -5.581          | OK     |
| Cell 11 | -0.0109              | -0.0124                | -0.0015 | -5.266          | OK     |
| Cell 12 | +0.0000              | -0.0117                | -0.0117 | -5.140          | OK     |
| Cell 13 | -0.0078              | -0.0105                | -0.0028 | -5.124          | OK     |
| Cell 14 | -0.0051              | -0.0100                | -0.0049 | -5.197          | OK     |
| Cell 15 | -0.0020              | -0.0154                | -0.0135 | -5.388          | OK     |
| Cell 16 | -0.0068              | -0.0055                | +0.0013 | -4.858          | OK     |

No anomalous signals detected on this layer.

|                          |                          |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>L3_IR_SUPPRESSION</b> | <b>INSUFFICIENT_DATA</b> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|

Not enough current-step transitions to compute DCIR. This dataset appears to be charging-only. IR suppression attack targets load-side (discharge) voltage. Full DCIR resolution requires a combined charge+discharge session.

|                               |              |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| <b>L4_MANIFOLD_TRAJECTORY</b> | <b>CLEAN</b> |
|-------------------------------|--------------|

No anomalous signals detected on this layer.

# THREAT SCENARIO ANALYSIS

M-W Framework Detection Capability vs Deployed Infrastructure

## S1: Nation-State Grid Firmware Pre-Positioning

| Reference Document | BVL-NationState-BESS-ThreatScenario-8Mar2026  |
|--------------------|---|
| Target             | US PJM / CAISO / ERCOT / MISO grid-scale BESS (30 GW operational, 100 GW by 2030)   |
| Attacker Profile   | Chinese state-sponsored actor (Volt Typhoon playbook — CISA AA23-144A, AA24-038A)   |
| Attack Vector      | Compromised BMS vendor OTA update server; cryptographically signed firmware payload |
| Dormancy Period    | 7-30 months post-distribution; activates on geopolitical trigger or calendar date   |

### Attack Phases / Consequences

| Phase              | Timeline    | Defender Visibility   |
|--------------------|-------------|---|
| 1 — Initial Access | Year 0      | NONE — standard IT compromise, no OT indicator                  |
| 2 — Payload Prep   | Months 2-6  | NONE — firmware diff shows minor calibration changes, passes QA |
| 3 — Distribution   | Month 7     | NONE — legitimate signed OTA, normal update traffic             |
| 4 — Dormancy       | Months 7-30 | NONE — all telemetry clean, assets perform normally in dispatch |
| 5 — Activation     | Month 30+   | NONE at activation — all systems still report normal            |
| 6 — Cascade        | Days 3-21   | Thermal events — too late for monitoring to prevent damage      |

### Scale of Exposure

| Metric                                | Estimate                        | Source                                 |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| US utility-scale BESS (2025)          | ~30 GW operational              | EIA Electric Power Monthly Jan 2026    |
| US utility-scale BESS (2030)          | 100+ GW committed               | LBNL Utility-Scale Storage Trends 2025 |
| Chinese-origin BMS share              | >60% (estimated)                | DOC Section 232 Review, ODNI 2024      |
| PJM BESS operational                  | ~4 GW operational, 15 GW queued | PJM State of the Market 2025           |
| Min. capacity for PJM destabilisation | ~2-5 GW simultaneous            | NERC BAL-003, EOP-011                  |

### Detection Gap — Why Deployed Tools Fail

Every deployed tool trusts the BMS. The BMS is the compromised component. Network tools see correctly formatted CAN frames. SCADA reads vendor-reported values. Threshold alerts never fire — max attacked voltage 3.3384 V vs 3.65 V ceiling. Firmware integrity checks pass — attack uses vendor's own stolen signing certificate (identical mechanism to SolarWinds 2020). Minimum compromised capacity for PJM destabilisation: 2-5 GW simultaneous loss (NERC BAL-003). Time to thermal cascade once activated: 3-21 days (APS McMicken 2019, Korean BESS investigations 2017-2019).

| Tool / Method                 | Detection Approach   | Why It Fails Against This Attack   |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Clarity / Dragos / Nozomi     | Network packet inspection, OT protocol conformance checks      | All CAN frames are correctly formatted. No protocol violation occurs. The attack is entirely transparent to network tools. |
| SCADA / EMS Dashboards        | SOH, SOC, temperature, voltage — all read from BMS reports     | SCADA/BMS reports the BMS reports. The BMS is the compromised component. No independent data source.                       |
| BMS Threshold Alerts          | Overvoltage (>3.65V), undervoltage (<2.8V)                     | Max attacked voltage is 3.3384 V — 311 mV below ceiling. No threshold breached at any point.                               |
| Firmware Integrity Checks     | Cryptographic verification firmware matches vendor's signature | Attack is signed through vendor's own update infrastructure using vendor's own signing certificate.                        |
| Statistical Anomaly Detection | Z-score, IQR-based outlier detection on individual channels    | Each channel's value remains within one standard deviation of its channel distribution. Distributed across the fleet.      |
| Bayesian Virtual Lab (M-W)    | Physics-constrained VAE on Riemannian manifold                 | Not a detection tool, but a model used for analysis. The attack is not a data point in the model's training set.           |

## S2: Cloud Platform Poisoning — Urban Grid Reliability

| Reference Document | BVL-Global-Infra-2026-01-CascadiaAdvisory   |
|--------------------|---|
| Target             | Urban BESS fleet (Cascadia / Mumbai metro); cloud analytics platform of dominant BMS vendor |

| Reference Document | BVL-Global-Infra-2026-01-CascadiaAdvisory   |
|--------------------|---|
| Attacker Profile   | Sophisticated state or criminal actor; target is cloud processing layer, not hardware   |
| Attack Vector      | Compromise of vendor cloud platform that processes raw BMS telemetry before forwarding to national grid control centre. Physics |
| Dormancy Period    | 24 months; attacker has real-time visibility of true pack state throughout  |

### Attack Phases / Consequences

| Stakeholder     | During Dormancy                                   | At Activation  |
|-----------------|---|--|
| Grid Operator   | Data stream shows flawless fleet performance      | Multiple units trip within hour 1 of peak dispatch           |
| Asset Owner     | Quarterly compliance reports clean                | Thermal runaway — fire service response in dense urban areas |
| Public          | City clean energy transition narrative positive   | Major commercial corridors lose grid support                 |
| Grid (systemic) | Resource adequacy forecasts rely on BESS capacity | Emergency voltage reduction; peaker emergency-start          |

### Scale of Exposure

| Metric                        | Estimate  | Source                        |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Mumbai island grid constraint | Tata Power / Adani / BEST — constrained load pocket | MERC tariff orders 2025       |
| Mumbai peak ambient temp      | 38-43 deg C summer                                  | IMD Mumbai climatology        |
| Indian BESS operational       | 500 MW+ operational, multi-GW pipeline              | SECI, CERC 2025               |
| Cloud platform audit rights   | Absent — no contractual right to algorithm audit    | Standard BMS vendor contracts |
| Detection by passive CAN tap  | Possible — raw telemetry bypasses cloud layer       | M-W Framework architecture    |

### Detection Gap — Why Deployed Tools Fail

The attack occurs upstream in the vendor's cloud, before the data reaches any monitoring layer the operator controls. The M-W framework, deployed as an independent monitor reading raw telemetry directly from the site BMS via a passive tap, sits entirely outside the compromised vendor stack. It compares what the cloud platform reports against what the physics of the raw data actually shows. The divergence between the vendor's reported SOH and the M-W physics projection is the detection signal — visible weeks before activation, not hours after thermal runaway. There is no compromised hardware to find. The attack lives entirely in software the grid operator does not own.

| Tool / Method                 | Detection Approach  | Why It Fails Against This Attack  |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Clarity / Dragos / Nozomi     | Network packet inspection, OT protocol conformance          | Attacker CAN tap directly from hardware. No protocol violation occurs. The attack is entirely |
| SCADA / EMS Dashboards        | SOH, SOC, temperature, voltage — all read from BMS reports  | SCADA/BMS reports the BMS reports. The BMS is the compromised component. No indep             |
| BMS Threshold Alerts          | Overvoltage (>3.65V), undervoltage (<2.8V)                  | Max overvoltage 3.384V — 311 mV below ceiling. No threshold breached at any p                 |
| Firmware Integrity Checks     | Cryptographic verification firmware matches                 | Attack designed through vendor's own update infrastructure using vendor's own signing o       |
| Statistical Anomaly Detection | Z-score, IQR-based outlier detection on individual channels | Each channel value remains within one standard deviation of its channel distribution. Dri     |
| Bayesian Virtual Lab (M-W)    | Physics-constrained VAE on Riemannian manifold              | DETECT: Bayesian consistency and error rate 8 March 2026 / EPRI Q&A on this DEIR/LEAN         |

### S3: Capacity Market Financial Attack

| Reference Document | BVL-MarketAttack-EU-India-3Mar2026   |
|--------------------|--|
| Target             | Grid-scale BESS enrolled in EU FCR-D / UK BM / India CERC ancillary services; capacity payments contingent on verified SOH         |
| Attacker Profile   | Nation-state actor, financially motivated criminal group, or market manipulator; financial dimension requires no grid failure — on |
| Attack Vector      | Same firmware compromise as S1, timed to peak demand season with thin grid reserves  |
| Dormancy Period    | 12-18 months; assets appear exceptionally healthy, clearing all capacity market tests  |

### Attack Phases / Consequences

| Consequence          | Mechanism  | Scale                                 |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 1 — Capacity Failure | Assets cannot sustain rated output; multiple units trip undervoltage | Hundreds of MW disappear at peak need |

| Consequence                | Mechanism   | Scale  |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| 2 — Thermal Runaway        | High discharge + high ambient + elevated real IR = thermal limit breach | EUR 40-80M asset destruction; casualty risk              |
| 3 — Market Collapse        | Fast-response capacity loss during shortage drives LMP to EUR 2000+/MWh | Multi-hundred-million-euro settlement in one day         |
| 4 — Regulatory Destruction | BESS earning capacity payments for SOH they no longer possess           | Multi-year regulatory freeze; project finance withdrawal |

### Scale of Exposure

| Market                 | Asset Type     | Capacity Revenue  | SOH Requirement                              |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--|
| EU FCR-D (Nordic)      | BESS 1-100 MW  | EUR 60-120k/MW/yr | Typically >80% verified quarterly            |
| UK Balancing Mechanism | BESS 10-500 MW | GBP 50-200k/MW/yr | Performance-based, tested via dispatch       |
| Germany FCR/aFRR       | BESS 1-200 MW  | EUR 40-100k/MW/yr | >80% SOH, monthly self-reported              |
| India CERC DSM         | BESS 10-250 MW | INR 15-40L/MW/yr  | SOH verification framework under development |

### Detection Gap — Why Deployed Tools Fail

The entire revenue stream is contingent on reported SOH staying above the contractual threshold. An attacker who inflates reported SOH keeps a degraded asset earning full capacity payments for performance capacity that physically no longer exists. A 200 MW BESS portfolio enrolled in Nordic FCR-D earns approximately EUR 16-24 million per year in capacity payments. The financial dimension is the most insidious consequence — a grid failure recovers in hours, but a market manipulation event that destroys confidence in BESS SOH reporting could set back grid-scale storage deployment by a decade.

| Tool / Method                 | Detection Approach  | Why It Fails Against This Attack   |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Clarity / Dragos / Nozomi     | Network packet inspection, OT protocol correlation          | Attacker uses CAN bus protocol violation. No protocol violation occurs. The attack is entirely undetectable.   |
| SCADA / EMS Dashboards        | SOH, SOC, temperature, voltage — all read from BMS          | SCADA/BMS reports the BMS reports. The BMS is the compromised component. No independent verification.          |
| BMS Threshold Alerts          | Overvoltage (>3.65V), undervoltage (<2.8V)                  | Max overvoltage is 3.34V — 311 mV below ceiling. No threshold breached at any point.                           |
| Firmware Integrity Checks     | Cryptographic verification firmware matches                 | Attack designed through vendor's own update infrastructure using vendor's own signing keys.                    |
| Statistical Anomaly Detection | Z-score, IQR-based outlier detection on individual channels | Each channel's value remains within one standard deviation of its channel distribution. Drift is undetectable. |
| Bayesian Virtual Lab (M-W)    | Physics-constrained VAE on Riemannian manifold              | DETECT: Bayesian model successfully identifies attack. Match: 2026cs/5Rd8TC. On this DETECT: CLEAN             |

# THE DETECTION PRINCIPLE

Why an Attacker Who Controls the BMS Cannot Control the Physics

A battery pack cannot get healthier as it ages. This is not a heuristic or a threshold — it is a consequence of irreversible electrochemical processes: SEI layer growth, lithium plating, active material loss, electrolyte decomposition. No operating condition, calibration update, or firmware version produces an increase in State of Health over time in a real LFP cell.

When the Bayesian Virtual Lab projects a suspect pack's State of Health forward on the Riemannian degradation manifold learned from real fleet data, and observes either (a) a reported Full Capacity above the rated pack capacity, or (b) a projected SOH trajectory that diverges upward from the clean baseline trajectory, the system flags the telemetry as geometrically inconsistent with any valid LFP degradation geodesic.

This detection is independent of the BMS vendor, firmware version, communication protocol, CAN frame format, or network architecture. It operates on the physics of the battery, not the behaviour of the software reporting it. An attacker who can compromise BMS firmware cannot compromise the laws of electrochemistry.

## Proof of Detection — 8 March 2026

| Metric                    | Clean Telemetry            | Attack-Manipulated Telemetry                             | Verdict  |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Status at baseline        | 16 Normal, 0 Critical      | 16 Normal, 0 Critical                                    | Attack invisible at snapshot level             |
| Mean FC (reported)        | 100.00 Ah (= rated)        | 100.00 Ah (within range)                                 | Marginal                                       |
| Projected SOH at 1000d    | 94.44%                     | 94.44%   | Trajectory diverges                            |
| Threshold alert triggered | N/A                        | NOT DETECTED — max voltage 3.3384 V, no threshold breach | Alert not triggered                            |
| M-W physics detection     | N/A — this is ground truth | DETECTED — CLEAN (HIGH)                                  | Physics-layer catches what network tools can't |